BBF RFC 0: Instructions to BBF RFC Authors

Chris Anderson, Austin Che, Mackenzie Cowell, Alistair Elfick, Kim de Mora, Drew Endy, Chris French, Tom Knight, Antonia Mayer, George McArthur, Randy Rettberg, Douglas Ridgway, Reshma Shetty, Sean Sleight, and Daniel Tarjan

10 November 2008

1 Purpose

This BioBricks Foundation Request for Comments (BBF RFC) provides information about the preparation and submission of BBF RFCs to The BioBricks Foundation (BBF).

2 Relation to other BBF RFCs

BBF RFC 0 does not update or replace any earlier BBF RFC.

3 Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The BioBricks Foundation (2008). All Rights Reserved.

4 BBF Request for Comments

The BBF RFC process is informed by and taken inspiration from the Internet Engineering Task Force [1]. Hereafter, "BBF RFC" is abbreviated as "RFC".

5 Request an RFC number

The RFC author MUST send an email to rfc@biobricks.org that SHALL list a title and all expected authors, including the full name and email address for each author.

6 Prepare the RFC

Each RFC MUST include the following sections.

- Title
- Author list, including full names and email addresses.
- Purpose: A short description of what need the RFC addresses.
- Related Requests for Comments: If the new RFC will add information to, simply extend, or comment on a topic discussed in an earlier RFC, then the new RFC MUST state that it "updates" earlier RFC [number(s)]. If the new RFC revises an earlier RFC and can stand on its own, then the new RFC MUST state that it "replaces" earlier RFC [number(s)].
- Copyright notice whose text should be "Copyright (C) The BioBricks Foundation ([year]). All Rights Reserved."

Each RFC SHOULD use the following key words in accordance with the meaning listed below [2]. These words SHOULD be capitalized.

- The words "MUST", "REQUIRED", or "SHALL" mean that the item is an absolute requirement.
- The phrases "MUST NOT" or "SHALL NOT" mean that the item is an absolute prohibition.
- The word "SHOULD" or the adjective "RECOMMENDED" mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
- The phrases "SHOULD NOT" or "NOT RECOMMENDED" mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
- The word "MAY" or the adjective "OPTIONAL" mean that an item is truly optional.

7 Submit the RFC to The BioBricks Foundation

The RFC author MUST send an email to rfc@biobricks.org that SHALL include the assigned RFC number in the email subject or body. The RFC document(s) and/or digital object(s) MUST also be submitted as email attachment(s) or via URL allowing for direct download. The title and author list MAY be revised from the original RFC number request. Copyright for all document(s) and/or digital object(s) that comprise the RFC MUST be assigned to the BBF.

8 Authors' Contact Information

Chris Anderson: jcanderson@berkeley.edu Austin Che: austin@ginkgobioworks.com

Mackenzie Cowell: mac@diybio.org

Alistair Elfick: alistair.elfick@ed.ac.uk Kim de Mora: kim.demora@gmail.com

Drew Endy: endy@stanford.edu Chris French: c.french@ed.ac.uk Tom Knight: tk@csail.mit.edu

Antonia Mayer: mayera@alumni.reed.edu George McArthur: mcarthur@virginia.edu

Randy Rettberg: rettberg@mit.edu
Douglas Ridgway: ridgway@ualberta.ca
Reshma Shetty: rshetty@ginkgobioworks.com

Sean Sleight: sleight@u.washington.edu Daniel Tarjan: drt5p@virginia.edu

References

- [1] J. Postel and J Reynolds. Instructions to RFC authors. IETF RFC 2223, October 1997.
- [2] S Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to indicate requirement levels. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.