Lean Aircraft Initiative Plenary Workshop

Policy and External Environment

Program Instability



October 16, 1996

Presented by: Eric S. Rebentisch MIT





- Research overview
- Progress update
 - SPO survey
 - Contractor survey

Recent findings

- Cost of instability
- Influence of technical advance
- Impact of specific practices
- Summary

LEANAIRCRAFT INITIATIVE

Near-term Research Strategy (CY 96)

• Continue analysis of SPO survey data.

- Program/technology characteristics.
- HR issues.
- Management strategies.
- Integrate Contractor survey data into program instability database and analysis process.
- Validate current findings through interactions with practitioners.
- Continued population of the LEM with findings.



SPO Survey Update



81% Air Force



Survey Sample Demographics









Program Phase:





Preliminary - For Discussion Only

System Types (sectors represented)



Source: 1996 Government PM survey.



Contractor Survey

Preliminary - For Discussion Only

- ~320 surveys distributed.
- 76 responses (~%24 response rate) to date
 - 1 Sept. deadline.
 - Follow-up underway.
- No analysis performed yet
 - First looks by CY97.



Cost of Instability Update

Preliminary - For Discussion Only

- Cost growth (average annual*):
 - Budget changes: 2.4%
 - Changes in user requirements: 2.7%
 - Technical difficulties: 2.6%
 - Total: 7.8%
- Budget and requirements changes account for 66% of average annual program cost growth.

* Mean baseline period 60 months; median 43 months

LEANAIRCRAFT Cost of Instability Update (cont.)

Preliminary - For Discussion Only

- Schedule slip (total program):
 - Budget changes: 9.1%
 - Changes in user requirements: 11.9%
 - Technical difficulties: 9.4%
 - Total: 34.3%
- Budget and requirements changes account for 61% of total program schedule slip.

LEANAIRCRAFT INITIATIVE Cost of Instability in Perspective



Source: 1996 Government PM survey, JSF MADP report.



The Role of Uncertainty

A fundamental tenet of leanness:

Reduce uncertainty (uncontrolled variance) where possible.

Problem:

- Aggressive technological advance introduces uncertainty into program planning and programming.
- Excess uncertainty may inhibit successful overall movement towards leanness.

LEANAIRCRAFT ypes of Cost Growth Associated with INITIATIVE System-level Technical Advance

Preliminary - For Discussion Only





Subsystem-Level Technical Advance

Preliminary - For Discussion Only

Level of Technical Advance Required in Critical System Components:





Non-Developmental Content

Preliminary - For Discussion Only





Preliminary - For Discussion Only

Response to the statement: "The technologies used in this program have more demanding requirements than commercial technologies and therefore this system should take longer to develop and field."







Unplanned cost growth from aggressive technological advance suggests:

- uncertainty associated with very advanced products presents significant challenges to the current planning/budgeting process.
- use of "buffers" to compensate for uncertainty (which is inconsistent with lean paradigm).
- overall levels of uncertainty in the system may have to be traded against goals for attaining leanness.



The Role of Responsiveness

Another tenet of leanness:

- Increase responsiveness (adaptability) where possible.
 - Compensate for uncertainty.



Management Practices to Overcome Instability

- Data collected:
 - Use of various practices to avoid the onset and mitigate the negative impact of program instability.
 - Uncertainty reducing
 - Responsiveness enhancing
 - Program plan sensitivity to unplanned budget changes.



Tolerance to Budget Variability

Preliminary - For Discussion Only



LEANAIRCRAFT INITIATIVE Cost Impacts of Requirements Changes

Preliminary - For Discussion Only



Source: 1996 Government PM survey.



Influence of OTS / NDI Content

Preliminary - For Discussion Only



Source: 1996 Government PM survey.



Summary

- Increasing responsiveness to change:
 - Tolerance to budget variance improved by reducing dependence on critical technologies or resources (risk management) and increasing responsiveness.
 - Practices that increase program responsiveness decrease the premium paid for changing requirements.
- A Tradeoff:
 - Use of risk management, responsiveness practices an apparent substitute for using more OTS / NDI technologies.



Observations

- Program instability factors account for the major part of both program cost growth and schedule slip.
- Magnitude of the cost of program instability may equal or exceed that of expected cost savings from "lean".



Observations (cont.)

- Increased uncertainty associated with high levels of technical advance a potential barrier to realizing the full benefits of leanness:
 - Tradeoff between accepting cost of uncertainty or developing increased responsiveness to unplanned changes.
 - Support PD/SR investigation of benefits of modular/platform-based product development concepts.





- Validate findings through discussions with practitioners.
- Integrate contractor data into on-going analysis of SPO data.
- Continued population of the LEM with findings.



Other Policy Focus Team Activities

• Economic incentives:

- Two case studies well underway.
- Two more case study sites under negotiation.

• Use of commercial practices:

- Research begun to catalogue "lessons learned" from commercial practice pathfinder and pilot programs.
- Focus team defining additional research topic.

Modeling the acquisition process:

- Model development progressing.
- Role of "Lean User" in requirements generation:
 - White paper written.